The Theological Matrix of the Galatian Crisis: A Reconstruction of the Agitators' Christology and Soteriology

I. Introduction: The Gravitas of the Galatian Conflict

The Epistle to the Galatians represents a watershed moment in the history of early Christianity, preserving the volatile collision between two distinct theological tectonic plates: the Pauline gospel of grace and the Torah-centric soteriology of his opponents. To reduce this conflict to a simple binary of "grace versus works" or "Christianity versus Judaism" is a historical anachronism that fails to appreciate the nuanced self-understanding of the individuals Paul opposed. These opponents, widely referred to in scholarship as "Judaizers," "Agitators," or "Teachers," did not view themselves as enemies of the Messiah, but rather as the guardians of his legacy and the rigorous interpreters of the covenant he fulfilled.

The central inquiry of this report—whether these Judaizers believed in the sinlessness of Christ, his death, burial, and resurrection, and the necessity of faith—strikes at the heart of the "Galatian Crisis." It demands a reconstruction of a theology that is known almost exclusively through the polemics of its fiercest adversary. Yet, by triangulating Paul's specific arguments, the broader context of Second Temple Judaism, and the trajectories of later Jewish-Christian sects like the Ebionites, a coherent theological profile emerges. The evidence suggests that the Agitators were not denying the fundamental kerygma (proclamation) of the early church regarding Jesus' person and work. On the contrary, they likely affirmed his sinlessness, death, and resurrection with a ferocity equal to Paul's. Their deviation—what Paul termed "another gospel"—lay not in the rejection of these Christological facts, but in the soteriological and ecclesiological conclusions they drew from them.

For the Agitators, the sinlessness of Christ was the supreme validation of the Torah; his resurrection was the vindication of the Righteous One who kept the Law; and faith was the entry point into a covenant that required circumcision as its seal. This report will systematically dismantle and reconstruct their belief system, arguing that their theology was a sophisticated form of "Covenantal Nomism" that sought to integrate the new reality of the Messiah with the eternal validity of the Mosaic Law, driven by both deep hermeneutical convictions and urgent socio-political anxieties regarding Roman and Zealot persecution.[1]

II. Historical and Sociological Reconstruction of the Opponents

Before dissecting the specific Christological tenets of the Agitators, it is imperative to

establish their identity and the sociopolitical crucible in which their theology was forged. The opponents in Galatia were not "legalists" in the modern Protestant sense of trying to earn salvation through merit points. They were "nomists"—zealots for the Law (Torah) who believed that the covenant with Israel was the sole locus of salvation history.[4]

A. Identity: The "Men from James" and the Jerusalem Connection

The internal evidence of Galatians, particularly the incident at Antioch described in Galatians 2:12, links the pressure to "Judaize" with "certain men [who] came from James".[5] While James the Just, the brother of Jesus and leader of the Jerusalem church, may not have explicitly authorized their mission to Galatia, the Agitators undoubtedly claimed his patronage. This connection is vital. The Jerusalem church, as depicted in Acts, remained deeply embedded in Jewish life. They attended the Temple, observed the feasts, and many were "zealous for the law" (Acts 21:20).

The Agitators in Galatia were likely Jewish "Christ-believers" who viewed the Jesus movement not as a new religion but as the eschatological fulfillment of Judaism. They saw themselves as emissaries of the "Mother Church," correcting the "deficient" gospel of Paul, who was a latecomer and a former persecutor. Their authority derived from their proximity to the historical Jesus and the pillars of the church (Peter, James, John), giving their theological claims immense weight in the eyes of the Galatian converts.[7]

B. The Context of "Covenantal Nomism"

The theological framework of the Agitators is best understood through the lens of "Covenantal Nomism," a concept popularized by E.P. Sanders and embraced by proponents of the New Perspective on Paul. In this view, First-Century Judaism did not teach that one earned salvation by works. Rather, one entered the covenant by God's grace (election/birth) and maintained that status through obedience to the Law.[3]

Feature	**Protestant Caricature of Judaism**	**Covenantal Nomism (Historical Reality)**
Basis of Salvation	Human merit / Good works	Divine Election / Grace
Role of the Law	A ladder to earn heaven	A response to grace / Condition of staying in
View of Gentiles	Can be saved if they are good	Outside the covenant unless they convert

Paul's Critique	"You can't earn it"	"The covenant has been redefined around Christ, not Torah"

The Agitators applied this framework to the Gentile mission. They likely agreed with Paul that Gentiles "entered" the sphere of salvation through grace and faith in Christ. However, they diverged on how one "stayed in" or attained "perfection" (*teleiōsis*). For the Agitators, faith was the doorway, but the Law was the house. To remain in the covenant, the Gentile convert must eventually take on the "yoke of the commandments," specifically circumcision, which functioned as the ultimate boundary marker of the people of God.[4]

C. The Political Pressure Cooker: *Religio Licita* and Persecution

A critical psychological and political motivator for the Agitators was the fear of persecution. Paul explicitly states in Galatians 6:12: "Those who want to make a good showing in the flesh... would force you to be circumcised, and only in order that they may not be persecuted for the cross of Christ".[10]

In the mid-first century, Judaism enjoyed the status of *religio licita* (a permitted religion) within the Roman Empire. This status exempted Jews from the mandatory imperial cult (emperor worship). As long as the Jesus movement was viewed as a sect of Judaism, it shared this protection. However, uncircumcised Gentile converts presented a legal and social danger. They had abandoned the Roman gods (which looked like atheism and sedition) but had not legally become Jews (via circumcision). This placed them—and by association, their Jewish teachers—in a precarious legal position.[12]

Furthermore, rising Jewish nationalism and Zealotry in Judea meant that Jewish Christians were under intense scrutiny. Any perception that they were encouraging apostasy from the Law could result in ostracization from the synagogue or violent reprisals. By compelling Gentiles to be circumcised, the Agitators could demonstrate to both Roman authorities and Jewish nationalists that the Jesus movement was a law-abiding, strictly Jewish phenomenon. Thus, their theology was inextricably linked to their survival strategy.[14]

III. Christology I: The Sinlessness of Christ

The query specifically asks if the Judaizers believed in the sinlessness of Christ. The evidence points to an emphatic affirmative. In fact, the Agitators' entire theological system likely *depended* on the sinlessness of Jesus, though they defined it through a distinctively Jewish lens.

A. Sinlessness as Perfect Torah Observance

For Paul, Jesus' sinlessness was often linked to his pre-existence and his ontological status as

the Son of God (2 Cor 5:21; Rom 8:3). For the Agitators, operating within a Jewish matrix, "sinlessness" would be functionally equivalent to "perfect Torah observance."

If the Agitators believed that the Law was eternal and the ultimate expression of God's will, then the Messiah—God's Anointed—must be the exemplar of that Law. A Messiah who transgressed the Torah would be a contradiction in terms. Therefore, the Agitators likely preached a Jesus who was meticulously observant: he was circumcised on the eighth day (Luke 2:21), he kept the Sabbath, he ate kosher, and he upheld the statutes of Moses.[5]

This creates a powerful, albeit ironic, basis for their argument in Galatia. They could argue to the Gentile converts: "You claim to follow Jesus, yet you live like Gentiles? Jesus was circumcised; why are you not? Jesus kept the Law; why do you ignore it? To be like Christ is to be a law-keeper." In this reconstruction, the Agitators were not minimizing Jesus; they were elevating his Jewish piety as the standard for all believers. Their belief in his sinlessness was the very hammer they used to drive the necessity of the Law.[9]

B. The "Adoptionist" Trajectory: The Ebionite Connection

The most compelling evidence for the Agitators' view of sinlessness comes from analyzing their theological heirs, the Ebionites. The Ebionites were a Jewish-Christian sect that flourished in the centuries following the destruction of the Temple, widely regarded by church fathers (like Irenaeus and Epiphanius) as preserving the theology of the "Judaizers" Paul opposed.[16]

The Ebionites held to an "Adoptionist" Christology. They rejected the Virgin Birth, believing Jesus was the natural son of Joseph and Mary. However, they believed that Jesus was "adopted" by God as the Son/Messiah at his baptism precisely because of his **superlative virtue and sinless keeping of the Law**.[16]

- **The Logic of Merit:** In this system, Jesus was not the Messiah by ontological nature (God becoming man) but by moral achievement (Man pleasing God). He "proved" himself worthy of the Messianic office by triumphing over sin—defined as transgression of the Law.
- **The Second Adam:** Just as Adam fell by disobedience, the "New Adam" (Jesus) triumphed by perfect obedience.
- **Implication for Galatia:** If the Galatian Agitators held a proto-Ebionite view, their belief in Jesus' sinlessness was even *more* central to their theology than it was for Paul. For Paul, Jesus' sinlessness qualified him to be a sacrifice. For the Agitators, Jesus' sinlessness qualified him to be the Messiah. Consequently, they would argue that salvation comes to those who imitate this sinless obedience. "Christ did it; therefore, we must do it."

C. Paul’s Silence as Confirmation

In Galatians, Paul attacks the Agitators on the issues of justification, the calendar, and

circumcision. He never attacks them for saying "Jesus was a sinner." If the Agitators had denied Jesus' moral perfection, Paul—who wrote "Him who knew no sin" (2 Cor 5:21)—would have surely unleashed a torrent of theological correction. His silence on the *character* of Jesus suggests that on this point, there was no debate. Both sides agreed Jesus was the spotless Lamb; the fight was over what his spotlessness demanded of his followers.[18]

IV. Christology II: The Death and Burial of Christ

The Agitators' view of the cross is complex. Paul charges them with forcing circumcision "only in order that they may not be persecuted for the cross of Christ" (Gal 6:12), and asserts, "if righteousness could be gained through the law, Christ died for nothing!" (Gal 2:21). This rhetorical thrust implies that the Agitators *did* believe in the death of Christ but integrated it into a system that Paul felt robbed it of its power.

A. The Reality of the Death and Burial

Did they believe Jesus died and was buried? Unquestionably.

1. **The Historical Fact:** By the time the Agitators arrived in Galatia (c. 48-55 AD), the death of Jesus under Pontius Pilate was the foundational fact of the movement. To deny it would be to deny the movement itself.
2. **The Burial as Purity:** As rigorous Jews, the Agitators would have placed high importance on Jesus' burial. Jewish law (Deut 21:22-23) mandated that a body hung on a tree must be buried the same day to prevent defiling the land.[20] The fact that Jesus was buried by a member of the Sanhedrin (Joseph of Arimathea) in accordance with Jewish custom would have been a point of apologetic pride for them. It proved that despite the Roman execution, Jesus remained within the sphere of Jewish care and purity.[22]

B. The Atoning Efficacy of the Cross

The Agitators likely viewed the death of Jesus as a **sacrificial atonement** within the Levitical system, rather than a replacement of it.

- **The "Entrance" Sacrifice:** In the Covenantal Nomism framework, the death of Christ was likely viewed as the ultimate sacrifice that atoned for the sins of the "former age" or the sins committed in ignorance (Gentile idolatry). It washed the slate clean, allowing Gentiles to enter the Covenant.
- **Insufficiency for Perfection:** However, they seemingly argued that while the cross deals with *past* sin or *entry*, it does not grant *final* righteousness. Final righteousness requires living out the holiness code (Torah).
- **Paul's Critique:** Paul argues that this view makes the cross "of no value" (Gal 5:2). If the cross is just the "starter pack" and the Law is the "finisher," then the Law is actually the superior agent of salvation. For Paul, the cross is the *end* (*telos*) of the Law. The

Agitators believed the cross was the *gateway* to the Law.

C. The Curse of the Cross

Deuteronomy 21:23 states, "Cursed is everyone who is hung on a tree." This verse was a major stumbling block for Jewish missions. How could the Messiah be cursed by God?

- **Paul's Solution:** Jesus *became* a curse for us to redeem us from the curse of the Law (Gal 3:13).
- **The Agitators' Likely Solution:** They may have downplayed the "curse" aspect or argued that his resurrection negated the curse, proving that his death was a miscarriage of Roman justice rather than a divine judgment. Alternatively, they may have argued that his willingness to endure the shame of the cross was the ultimate act of "faithfulness" to God's plan, further cementing his status as the Obedient Son.[9] The "offense" or "scandal" of the cross (Gal 5:11) which they sought to remove (Gal 6:12) was likely the idea that a *crucified* Messiah replaced the glorious, eternal Torah. By adding circumcision, they "sanitized" the scandal, making the movement look like respectable Judaism again.

V. Christology III: The Resurrection of Christ

The resurrection of Jesus is the sine qua non of early Christianity. Without it, there is no "Christ" party, only a failed messianic revolt. The Agitators, linked to the Pharisees (Acts 15:5), were staunch believers in the resurrection.

A. The Pharisaic Backdrop

The Pharisees were the primary champions of the doctrine of bodily resurrection in Second Temple Judaism, in contrast to the Sadducees who denied it.[22] The Agitators, emerging from this stream, would have embraced Jesus' resurrection not just as a miracle for one man, but as the inauguration of the general resurrection of the dead.

- **Vindication of the Just:** In Jewish thought, resurrection is God's vindication of the righteous sufferer. If Jesus was raised, God was declaring, "This man is Righteous." Since the Agitators defined righteousness by the Law, the resurrection was proof that the Law-keeping Jesus was God's chosen.
- **The Logic:** God raised the Torah-observant Jesus; therefore, God loves Torah observance; therefore, we should be Torah-observant. (Paul, conversely, argued: God raised the Jesus who was cursed by the Law; therefore, the Law's regime is over).

B. The Creed of 1 Corinthians 15

In 1 Corinthians 15:3-7, Paul recites an early creed he "received"—likely from the Jerusalem and Antiochian church within years of his conversion.[25] This creed affirms:

1. Christ died for our sins according to the Scriptures.

2. He was buried.
3. He was raised on the third day according to the Scriptures.
4. He appeared to Cephas, the Twelve, etc.

Since the Agitators claimed connection to "Cephas" (Peter) and "James" (who are named in the creed as witnesses), and since this creed predates the Galatian crisis, it is virtually certain that the Agitators affirmed this confession.[27] They did not dispute the *fact* of the appearances; they disputed the *authority* Paul derived from his specific vision. They likely argued, "We have the testimony of the Twelve who walked with him and saw him risen; Paul is a latecomer with a private hallucination that contradicts the Torah."

C. Resurrection as the Engine of Mission

The Agitators' zeal to travel to Galatia and "correct" the church demonstrates a fervent belief that the Messianic Age had dawned. This eschatological urgency is grounded in the resurrection. They believed the "Ingathering of the Gentiles" was happening, a sign of the End Times. However, their reading of prophecy (e.g., Isaiah 2, Zechariah 8) suggested that in the End Times, the nations would stream to Jerusalem and learn the Torah.[29] Thus, their mission to circumcise Gentiles was their attempt to fulfill the Great Commission *as they understood it*: bringing the nations under the Law of the Risen Messiah.

VI. Soteriology I: The Nature of Faith (*Pistis*)

The query asks about "the need for faith in order to obtain salvation." This is perhaps the area where modern Protestant definitions most obscure the historical reality. The Agitators believed in faith, but they defined it within a different semantic domain than the Reformation's *sola fide*.

A. *Pistis* as "Faithfulness" or "Allegiance"

The Greek word *pistis* (*faith*) has a wide semantic range, including "belief," "trust," "faithfulness," and "loyalty".[30] The New Perspective on Paul argues that in many contexts, *pistis Christou* should be translated as "the faithfulness of Christ" rather than "faith in Christ".[32]

- **The Agitators' View:** They likely emphasized the *faithfulness of Jesus* (his obedience to the cross and Law) as the ground of salvation. Consequently, the "faith" required of the believer was reciprocal *faithfulness* or *allegiance*.
- **Allegiance to the King:** To have "faith" in King Jesus was to pledge allegiance to him. In the ancient world, allegiance to a king meant obeying his laws. Since Jesus was the Jewish Messiah, allegiance to him meant submitting to the Jewish Law.[34]
- **Faith is Necessary but not Sufficient:** They did not deny that one must "believe" in Jesus. They denied that "belief" was a disembodied intellectual assent that bypassed the Torah. For them, "faith without works is dead" (James 2:26) was not just a slogan; it

was the mechanism of salvation. Faith gets you to the door; faithfulness (Torah) keeps you in the house.

B. The "Christ Plus" Formula?

Paul accuses them of adding to the gospel. A reconstruction of their preaching might look like this:

1. **Premise 1:** God made an eternal covenant with Abraham, marked by circumcision (Gen 17:14: "Any uncircumcised male... has broken my covenant").
2. **Premise 2:** Jesus is the Seed of Abraham and the Messiah who fulfills this covenant.
3. **Premise 3:** To inherit the promises of Abraham through Jesus, one must be part of Abraham's family.
4. **Conclusion:** Therefore, you must believe in Jesus *and* be circumcised to be a true heir.[9]

They did not view this as "Christ *plus* Works" (as if works were an alien addition). They viewed it as "Christ *embodied* in Covenant." To reject circumcision was to reject the very covenant Christ came to fulfill. Thus, they required faith *absolutely*, but they defined a "faithful" person as one who obeys the covenant markers.

VII. Soteriology II: The Necessity of Circumcision and Works

Why was circumcision the "hill to die on"? Why not just ethics or love? The answer lies in the sociology of the sect and the concept of "Boundary Markers."

A. Circumcision as Identity, Not Merit

Scholar J.D.G. Dunn argues that the "Works of the Law" Paul opposed were specifically the "boundary markers" that separated Jew from Gentile: circumcision, food laws, and Sabbath.[3] The Agitators were not demanding that Galatians memorize Leviticus to earn 10,000 righteousness points. They were demanding *social integration* into Judaism.

- **The Issue of Table Fellowship:** The crisis often flared up around eating (Gal 2:12). Jewish believers could not eat with Gentiles without becoming ritually unclean. The Agitators' solution was simple: "Circumcise the Gentiles, and we can all eat together." It was a pragmatic solution to a social problem.[1]
- **Perfection:** They offered the Galatians "perfection" (*epiteleisthe*, Gal 3:3). This term often has ritual connotations. They were telling the Galatians, "You are spiritual novices. Circumcision is the graduation ceremony into full, mature status in the Family of God".[6]

B. The *Religio Licita* Strategy: A Legal Necessity?

The role of Roman law cannot be overstated. As noted in Section II, the fear of persecution

(Gal 6:12) drove the demand for circumcision.

- **The Agitators' Plea:** "If you don't get circumcised, you are illegal 'atheists' in the eyes of Rome, and you endanger us all. If you get circumcised, you are legally Jews, protected by the Emperor's decree. Why would you choose danger over safety? Don't you trust God's provision of the Law?".[10]
- **Paul's Rebuke:** Paul saw this as cowardice. He viewed their reliance on the "flesh" (circumcision) as a way to avoid the "stumbling block" of the cross. For Paul, the church was a *Third Race*—neither Jew nor Greek—and it had to stand on its own, even if that meant persecution. The Agitators wanted the safety of the Synagogue; Paul wanted the freedom of the Spirit.

C. Did They Keep the Whole Law?

Paul accuses them: "Not even those who are circumcised keep the law" (Gal 6:13). This is a fascinating insight. It suggests the Agitators were selective. They emphasized the *visible* markers (circumcision, calendar) that secured Jewish identity and Roman protection, perhaps while neglecting the "weightier matters" or the full rigor of the Pharisaic code. This "selective nomism" implies their motivation was heavily sociopolitical—creating a visible "Jewish" Christendom—rather than purely a quest for moral perfectionism.[7]

VIII. Conclusion: The Verdict on the Agitators' Beliefs

Based on this exhaustive analysis of the biblical text, historical context, and scholarly reconstruction, we can provide a definitive answer to the user's query.

Did the Judaizers believe in...

1. The Sinlessness of Christ?

YES. They believed Jesus was the "True Prophet" and "Righteous One" who kept the Torah perfectly. His sinlessness was the foundation of his Messiahship. They likely held a higher view of his *active obedience* to the Law than Paul did, arguing that believers must imitate this obedience to be saved.

2. His Death and Burial?

YES. They affirmed the historical reality of his execution and burial. They likely viewed his burial as a fulfillment of Jewish purity law. They understood his death as an atoning sacrifice that provided entry into the covenant, though they denied it abolished the Law.

3. His Resurrection?

YES. As a Pharisaic-Christian group, the resurrection was the vindication of their theology. It proved that the Law-keeping Jesus was God's Son. They accepted the appearances to Peter

and James as authoritative.

4. The Need for Faith in Order to Obtain Salvation?

YES. They were not Pelagians who believed man saves himself without God. They believed faith in Jesus was the *necessary* condition for salvation. However, they denied it was the *sufficient* condition. In their "Covenantal Nomism," faith was the response to grace that allowed one to enter, but faithfulness (embodied in circumcision and Law) was required to remain.

5. Along with Circumcision?

YES. This was the non-negotiable addition. For them, circumcision was not a "work" in opposition to faith, but the "seal of faith" (as it was for Abraham). It was the mechanism that transformed a "believer" into a "member of the family," legally, socially, and soteriologically.

Summary Table of the Agitators' Theological System

Doctrine	The Agitators' Likely Belief	Paul's Counter-Argument
Christology	**Adoptionist / Exemplar:** Jesus is the Sinless Law-Keeper who was vindicated by resurrection. We are saved by following his path of Torah obedience.	**Incarnational / Substitutionary:** Jesus is the Pre-existent Son who became a curse to redeem us from the Law. We are saved by union with his death/life.
The Cross	**Sacrificial / Preliminary:** Atones for past sins and opens the door to the Covenant.	**Apocalyptic / Final:** Crucifies the old world order (including the Law); creates a New Creation.
Faith	**Allegiance / Fidelity:** Trusting Jesus means obeying his Law (Torah). Faith is the start; Works are the completion.	**Trust / Reception:** Trusting Jesus means renouncing reliance on the "Flesh." Faith is the beginning and the end.
Circumcision	**Identity / Protection:** The seal of the Covenant;	**Mutilation / Flesh:** A denial of the Spirit's

	protects from Rome/Zealots; makes one a "son of Abraham."	sufficiency; a return to slavery; makes Christ "of no value."

The Agitators were "Christian" in their affirmation of the kerygma, "Jewish" in their soteriological structure, and "Pragmatic" in their politics. Their tragedy, in Paul's eyes, was that by trying to secure the gospel with the safety of the Law, they strangled the very freedom Christ died to purchase.

[1]

Works cited

1. "The Gospel according to heretics — Against Judaizers", accessed December 15, 2025, https://wallawalla-parish.lutheran.org.au/sermons/gospel-according-to-heretics-01/
2. "Galatians as Dialogical Response to Opponents" by Bernard Hungerford Brinsmead, accessed December 15, 2025, https://digitalcommons.andrews.edu/dissertations/18/
3. New Perspective on Paul - Wikipedia, accessed December 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New_Perspective_on_Paul
4. An Overview of the New Perspective on Paul - Faith Pulpit, accessed December 15, 2025, https://faith.edu/faith-pulpit/posts/an-overview-of-the-new-perspective-on-paul/
5. Paul, "Judaizers" and Jews | Larry Hurtado's Blog, accessed December 15, 2025, https://larryhurtado.wordpress.com/2013/02/13/paul-judaizers-and-jews/
6. Judaizers - Wikipedia, accessed December 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Judaizers
7. Galatians 2 - Who Were the Judaizers? - Reading Acts, accessed December 15, 2025, https://readingacts.com/2011/09/24/galatians-2-who-were-the-judaizers/
8. Galatians Chapter 1: The False Doctrine of the Judaizers of Galatia - Stories of God's Grace, accessed December 15, 2025, https://www.storiesofgodsgrace.com/site//2017/02/02/galatians-chapter-1-the-false-doctrine-of-the-judaizers-of-galatia
9. The Judaizers: When almost right isn't good enough - Biblical Missiology, accessed December 15, 2025, https://biblicalmissiology.org/blog/2012/07/03/the-judaizers-when-almost-right-isnt-good-enough/
10. Galatians 6:12 Commentaries: Those who desire to make a good showing in the flesh try to compel you to be circumcised, simply so that they will not be persecuted for the cross of Christ. - Bible Hub, accessed December 15, 2025, https://biblehub.com/commentaries/galatians/6-12.htm
11. The Judaizer's True Motives | Reformed Bible Studies & Devotionals at

Ligonier.org, accessed December 15, 2025, https://learn.ligonier.org/devotionals/judaizers-true-motives
12. What does Galatians 6:12 reveal about the motives behind religious practices? - Bible Hub, accessed December 15, 2025, https://biblehub.com/q/Galatians_6_12_motives_of_religious_acts.htm
13. Galatians and the imperial cult, accessed December 15, 2025, https://scielo.org.za/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0259-94222008000400025
14. Galatians and the Imperial Cult - DTS Voice - Dallas Theological Seminary, accessed December 15, 2025, https://voice.dts.edu/review/justin-k-hardin-galatians-and-the-imperial-cult/
15. The gospel meets persecution in Galatia - UQ eSpace - The University of Queensland, accessed December 15, 2025, https://espace.library.uq.edu.au/view/UQ:409786/s40058315_phd_final_thesis.pdf
16. A Response to the Doctrine of Adoptionism, part 1 - The Sower Magazine, accessed December 15, 2025, https://thesowermagazine.com/a-response-to-the-doctrine-of-adoptionism-part-1/
17. Ebionites - Wikipedia, accessed December 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ebionites
18. [PDF] Who Were Paul ' s Opponents in Galatia ? | Semantic Scholar, accessed December 15, 2025, https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Who-Were-Paul-%27-s-Opponents-in-Galatia-Russell/959cb266af5cba35841ff114a0c016229fd90087
19. Gospel in Conflict: Paul's Opponents in Galatians - Brandeis, accessed December 15, 2025, https://people.brandeis.edu/~brooten/Articles/Pauls_Opponents_in_Galatians.pdf
20. Did Jews Always Bury Their Dead on the Day of their Death? Was Jesus Buried Then?, accessed December 15, 2025, https://ehrmanblog.org/did-jews-always-bury-their-dead-on-the-day-of-their-death-was-jesus-buried-then/
21. The Resurrection of Jesus in the Light of Jewish Burial Practices, accessed December 15, 2025, https://hc.edu/news-and-events/2016/05/04/craig-evans-resurrection-jesus-light-jewish-burial-p-ractices/
22. Jesus and the Ossuaries: First-Century Jewish Burial Practices and the Lost Tomb of Jesus | Religious Studies Center, accessed December 15, 2025, https://rsc.byu.edu/behold-lamb-god/jesus-ossuaries-first-century-jewish-burial-practices-lost-tomb-jesus
23. Was Jesus' burial typical of the Jewish burials? - Quora, accessed December 15, 2025, https://www.quora.com/Was-Jesus-burial-typical-of-the-Jewish-burials
24. A Jewish Perspective on the Resurrection of Jesus - Light of Messiah Ministries, accessed December 15, 2025, https://lightofmessiah.org/blog/a-jewish-perspective-on-the-resurrection-of-jesus

25. 1 Cor 15:3-11 once again - Page 14 - Biblical Criticism & History Forum - earlywritings.com, accessed December 15, 2025, https://earlywritings.com/forum/viewtopic.php?t=2456&start=130
26. Did Paul claim to hear the 1 Corinthian 15 creed from Peter and/or James? - Reddit, accessed December 15, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AcademicBiblical/comments/gwwodr/did_paul_claim_to_hear_the_1_corinthian_15_creed/
27. The “ancient credal material” in 1 Corinthians 15 was written by Paul himself and cannot be used as evidence for the resurrection. : r/DebateAChristian - Reddit, accessed December 15, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/DebateAChristian/comments/7384d5/the_ancient_credal_material_in_1_corinthians_15/
28. Does the “1 Corinthians 15 creed” date to about AD 30? - BeliefMap.org, accessed December 15, 2025, https://beliefmap.org/bible/1-corinthians/15-creed/date
29. A SHORTER COMMENTARY ON GALATIANS | Theology and Ethics, accessed December 15, 2025, https://www.theologyethics.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/10/garlingtonShorter_Galatians.pdf
30. Faith and Faithfulness by D.A. Carson - Ligonier Ministries, accessed December 15, 2025, https://learn.ligonier.org/articles/faith-and-faithfulness
31. The Invention of Faith: pistis and fides in early churches and the later Roman empire, accessed December 15, 2025, https://www.classics.ox.ac.uk/invention-faith-pistis-and-fides-early-churches-and-later-roman-empire
32. Rom 3:22; Gal 2:16 – faith in, or faithfulness of Christ? - Walking With Giants, accessed December 15, 2025, https://www.walkingwithgiants.net/faith/pistis-faith-or-faithfulness/
33. What's New in the Pistis Christou Debate? | Church Life Journal, accessed December 15, 2025, https://churchlifejournal.nd.edu/articles/whats-new-in-the-pistis-christou-debate/
34. Pistis (Faith) Conversations in New Testament Scholarship – AFTE - A Foundation for Theological Education, accessed December 15, 2025, https://johnwesleyfellows.org/perspectives/pistis-faith-conversations-in-new-testament-scholarship/